

DAVID J. MEYER
VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF COUNSEL FOR
REGULATORY & GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
AVISTA CORPORATION
P.O. BOX 3727
1411 EAST MISSION AVENUE
SPOKANE, WASHINGTON 99220-3727
TELEPHONE: (509) 495-4316
FACSIMILE: (509) 495-8851
DAVID.MEYER@AVISTACORP.COM

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)	CASE NO. AVU-E-17-01
OF AVISTA CORPORATION FOR THE)	
AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS RATES)	
AND CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC AND)	
NATURAL GAS SERVICE TO ELECTRIC)	DIRECT TESTIMONY
AND NATURAL GAS CUSTOMERS IN THE)	OF
STATE OF IDAHO)	JEFF A. SCHLECT
)	

FOR AVISTA CORPORATION

(ELECTRIC ONLY)

1 I. INTRODUCTION

2 Q. Please state your name, employer and business
3 address.

4 A. My name is Jeff A. Schlect. I am employed by Avista
5 Corporation as Senior Manager, FERC Policy and Transmission
6 Services. My business address is 1411 East Mission, Spokane,
7 Washington.

8 Q. Please briefly describe your educational background
9 and professional experience.

10 A. I am a 1988 graduate of Washington State University
11 with a degree in Electrical Engineering. I spent five years
12 with Puget Sound Energy in distribution engineering and
13 operations positions prior to joining the Company in 1993 as a
14 Transmission Planning Engineer. Over the past 23 years, in
15 addition to stints in Customer Service and Power Supply I have
16 worked primarily in the Transmission Operations area with
17 responsibilities covering Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
18 (FERC) transmission policy and compliance with open access
19 transmission regulations, transmission contracts, transmission
20 and generation interconnection processes, and regional
21 transmission policy coordination. I have authored testimony in
22 Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) power and transmission
23 rate proceedings and provided comment before the US Senate

1 Subcommittee on Water and Power. In my current role I have
2 responsibility for all transmission revenue and expenses and
3 provide support to the Company's transmission capital planning
4 process.

5 **Q. What is the scope of your testimony?**

6 A. My testimony presents Avista's transmission revenues
7 and expenses included in the Company's request for rate relief
8 over the Two-Year Rate Plan effective January 1, 2018 and ending
9 December 31, 2019.

10 A table of contents for my testimony is as follows:

11	Description	Page
12	I. INTRODUCTION	1
13	II. TRANSMISSION EXPENSES FOR TWO-YEAR RATE PLAN	3
14	III. TRANSMISSION REVENUES FOR TWO-YEAR RATE PLAN	11
15	IV. TRANSMISSION EXPENSES FOR ENERGY IMBALANCE MARKET	
16	PARTICIPATION	23
17		

18 **Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits?**

19 A. Yes. Exhibit No. 9, Schedule 1 provides the
20 transmission revenue and expense during the Two-Year Rate Plan
21 effective January 1, 2018.

1 **II. TRANSMISSION EXPENSES FOR TWO-YEAR RATE PLAN**

2 **Q. Please describe the adjustments to the twelve-months-**
3 **ended December 31, 2016, test year transmission expenses, to**
4 **arrive at transmission expenses included in this case effective**
5 **January 1, 2018.**

6 A. Adjustments were made in this filing to incorporate
7 updated information for any changes in transmission expenses
8 from the 2016 test year to that used in this case effective
9 January 1, 2018. As can be seen in Exhibit No. 9, Schedule 1,
10 I have provided the expected changes in transmission expenses
11 from the 2016 test year through 2019. As noted on Exhibit No.
12 9, Schedule 1, the calendar 2018 Pro Forma level of transmission
13 expenses are used during the Two-Year Rate Plan (January 1,
14 2018 - December 31, 2019), as these amounts will be known by
15 the new rate effective date beginning January 1, 2018, and are
16 not expected to change materially during 2019. Company witness
17 Ms. Andrews pro forms this level of transmission expense within
18 her requested revenue requirement in this case. The changes in
19 expenses and a description of each is summarized in Table No.
20 1 below, and an explanation of each change follows the table.
21 Each expense item described below is at a system level and is
22 included in Exhibit No. 9, Schedule 1. Supporting workpapers

1 for each of the expense items have been included with the
 2 Company's filed case.

3 **Table No. 1:**

Transmission Expense Adjustment	
	(System) ⁽¹⁾
NWPP	\$ 12,000
Colstrip O&M - 500kV Line	32,000
ColumbiaGrid Funding	15,000
ColumbiaGrid PEFA	70,000
ColumbiaGrid Order 1000 Functional Agreement	25,000
NERC CIP	(12,000)
OASIS	10,000
PEAK Reliability - Reliability Coordination	37,000
WECC Dues	24,000
WECC - Loop Flow	10,000
Addy BPA Substation	-
Hatwai BPA Substation	-
Total change in Transmission Expense	\$223,000
<small>(1) Represents the change in expenses above or below the 2016 historical test year level.</small>	

20 **Northwest Power Pool (NWPP)** (\$12,000) - Avista pays its
 21 share of NWPP operating costs. The NWPP serves the electric
 22 utilities in the Northwest by facilitating coordinated power
 23 system operations and planning, including contingency
 24 generation reserve sharing, Columbia River water coordination
 25 and providing support to coordinated regional transmission
 26 planning. Avista's share of the costs is expected to be
 27 \$76,000, an increase of \$12,000 over the 2016 test year. This

1 estimated increase in expense is based upon the three-year
2 average growth rate in actual NWPP expenses.

3 **Colstrip O&M - 500kV Line** (\$32,000) - Avista is required
4 to pay its portion of the operation and maintenance (O&M) costs
5 associated with its joint ownership share of the Colstrip
6 Transmission System pursuant to the Colstrip Project
7 Transmission Agreement. Under this agreement, NorthWestern
8 Energy (NWE) operates and maintains the Colstrip Transmission
9 System. In accordance with NWE's proposed Colstrip
10 construction and maintenance plan, the Company's expected share
11 of Colstrip O&M expense during the rate year is \$319,000. This
12 is an increase of \$32,000 from the actual expense of \$287,000
13 incurred during the 2016 test year.

14 **ColumbiaGrid Funding** (\$15,000) - Avista became a member of
15 the ColumbiaGrid regional transmission organization in 2006.
16 ColumbiaGrid's purpose is to enhance transmission system
17 reliability and efficiency, provide cost-effective coordinated
18 regional transmission planning, develop and facilitate the
19 implementation of solutions relating to improved use and
20 expansion of the interconnected Northwest transmission system,
21 and support effective market monitoring within the Northwest
22 and the entire Western interconnection. Avista supports
23 ColumbiaGrid's general developmental and regional coordination

1 activities under the ColumbiaGrid Funding Agreement and
2 supports specific functional activities under the Planning and
3 Expansion Functional Agreement (PEFA) and the FERC Order 1000
4 Functional Agreement. Avista's ColumbiaGrid general funding
5 expenses for the 2016 test year were \$89,000. The general
6 funding expenses during the rate year are expected to be
7 \$104,000.

8 **ColumbiaGrid PEFA** (\$70,000) - The ColumbiaGrid PEFA¹ was
9 accepted by FERC on April 3, 2007, and Avista entered into the
10 PEFA on April 4, 2007. Coordinated transmission planning
11 activities under the PEFA allow the Company to meet its
12 coordinated regional transmission planning requirements set
13 forth in FERC Order 890 issued in February 2007, and as outlined
14 in the Company's Open Access Transmission Tariff. Actual PEFA
15 expenses for the 2016 test year were \$132,000. The Company's
16 PEFA expenses during the rate year are expected to be \$202,000,

¹ Under the PEFA, ColumbiaGrid coordinates regional grid expansion planning based on a single-utility concept for the combined transmission grids of its planning parties. The goal of grid expansion planning is to determine reasonable solutions to transmission grid issues pertaining to serving load and complying with reliability standards. The PEFA sets forth the responsibilities of ColumbiaGrid and each planning party to complete annual transmission system assessments and a Biennial Transmission Expansion Plan. While the Company is required by FERC to participate in a coordinated regional planning process, the biennial transmission planning process under the PEFA is enhanced by the participation of many non-FERC jurisdictional entities, including BPA, with whom the Company has more transmission interconnections than with any other entity.

1 reflecting ColumbiaGrid's staffing levels and planning-related
2 expenses to support the PEFA.

3 **ColumbiaGrid Order 1000 Functional Agreement** (\$25,000) -
4 FERC Order 1000 requirements are implemented under the Amended
5 and Restated Order 1000 Functional Agreement, signed on
6 November 11, 2014 (Order 1000 Agreement). This contract with
7 ColumbiaGrid called for a \$50,000 payment late in 2014 that
8 covered two years of payments for 2015 and 2016 (expensed in
9 2015). Beginning in 2017, this contract calls for an annual
10 payment of \$25,000.

11 **NERC Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP)** (-\$12,000)
12 - The Company has purchased several software and hardware
13 products to assist in protecting critical transmission control
14 systems from intrusion and to meet applicable North American
15 Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) standards. These
16 products provide for physical security, intrusion detection,
17 virus protection and vulnerability assessment. The Company's
18 NERC CIP expenses are expected to be \$75,000 during the rate
19 year, a decrease of \$12,000 from the 2016 test year actual
20 expenses of \$87,000.

21 **OASIS** (\$10,000) - These Open Access Same-Time Information
22 System (OASIS) expenses are associated with travel and training
23 costs for transmission pre-scheduling and OASIS personnel.

1 This travel is required to monitor and adhere to NERC
2 reliability standards, regional criteria development, FERC
3 OASIS requirements and OASIS user group forums with software
4 vendor Open Access Technology International, Inc. (OATI).
5 Issues regarding the software are discussed and requests are
6 made with the vendor for additional features that will be needed
7 for compliance standards mandated by NERC, NASB and FERC.
8 Expenses during the 2016 test year were \$0 due to the Company
9 hosting a major OATI user group forum in lieu of traveling.
10 Accordingly, these expenses are expected to go up by \$10,000
11 during the rate year.

12 **Peak Reliability - Reliability Coordination** (\$37,000) -
13 The Company's Peak Reliability (PEAK) fees are expected to
14 increase from the amount paid in the historical test year from
15 \$678,000 to \$715,000 during the rate year. The formation of
16 PEAK is attributable to the FERC requirement that the western
17 interconnection reliability coordination function be
18 corporately and physically separated from other Western
19 Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) functions. This
20 "bifurcation" was primarily the result of a transmission system
21 outage in the Pacific Southwest on September 8, 2011. A
22 reference to the disturbance including "Causes and
23 Recommendations" may be found at:

1 [http://www.ferc.gov/legal/staff-reports/04-27-2012-ferc-nerc-](http://www.ferc.gov/legal/staff-reports/04-27-2012-ferc-nerc-report.pdf)
2 [report.pdf](http://www.ferc.gov/legal/staff-reports/04-27-2012-ferc-nerc-report.pdf).

3 The Company is required to obtain reliability
4 coordination services under NERC standards. PEAK's budget is
5 approved by its independent board of directors and is allocated
6 to the members of PEAK based upon net energy used to serve load
7 within a member's balancing area. Detailed allocation
8 information is available on PEAK's website www.peakrc.com. The
9 Company's total WECC and PEAK allocations have increased an
10 average of 13.7% over the past five years. The Company is
11 expecting its PEAK allocation to increase approximately 5.5%
12 during the rate effective period.

12 **WECC - Dues** (\$24,000) - WECC is the designated Regional
13 Entity under federal statute responsible for coordinating and
14 promoting Bulk Electric System reliability throughout the
15 western interconnection. WECC is responsible for monitoring
16 and measuring Avista's compliance with reliability standards
17 and has substantially increased its staff and other resources
18 to meet these FERC requirements. The Company's 2016 test year
19 WECC dues and fees were \$421,000. The Company's total WECC and
20 PEAK allocations have increased an average of 13.7% over the
21 past five years. The Company's WECC allocation is expected to
22 be \$445,000, an increase of 5.7%, during the rate effective
23 period.

1 **WECC - Loop Flow** (\$10,000) - Loop Flow charges are spread
2 across all transmission owners in the west to compensate
3 utilities that make system adjustments to eliminate
4 transmission system congestion throughout the operating year.
5 WECC Loop Flow charges can vary from year to year since the
6 costs incurred are dependent on transmission system usage and
7 congestion. Loop Flow expenses for the 2016 test year were
8 \$35,000. Loop Flow expenses are expected to be at \$45,000
9 during the rate year.

10 **Addy BPA Substation** (\$0) - The Company pays operation and
11 maintenance fees to BPA associated with a 115kV circuit breaker
12 in BPA's Addy Substation that provides a direct interconnection
13 for Avista's retail load. These expenses for the 2016 test
14 year were \$9,000 and are expected to remain unchanged during
15 the rate year.

16 **Hatwai BPA Substation** (\$0) - The Company pays operation
17 and maintenance fees to BPA associated with a 230kV circuit
18 breaker owned by Avista, but located in BPA's Hatwai Substation.
19 These expenses for the 2016 test year were \$23,000 and are
20 expected to remain unchanged during the rate year.

1 **III. TRANSMISSION REVENUES FOR TWO-YEAR RATE PLAN**

2 **Q. Please describe the adjustments to 2016 test year**
3 **transmission revenues to arrive at transmission revenues**
4 **included in this case effective January 1, 2018.**

5 A. Adjustments have been made in this filing to
6 incorporate updated information for transmission revenue from
7 the 2016 test year to that used in this case for the Two-Year
8 Rate Plan, effective January 1, 2018. As can be seen in Exhibit
9 No. 9, Schedule 1, revenues have been adjusted to 2018 Pro Forma
10 levels, and there are no expected changes in transmission
11 revenues during 2019.

12 Each revenue item described below is at a system level and
13 is included in Exhibit No. 9, Schedule 1. Ms. Andrews has pro
14 formed the transmission revenues within the revenue requirement
15 in this case, reducing transmission revenues downward by
16 \$2,163,000 effective January 1, 2018. Table No. 2, below,
17 provides a detailed summary of the changes in transmission
18 revenues. An explanation of each change follows the table.
19 Supporting workpapers for each of the revenue items have been
20 included with the Company's filed case.

1 **Table No. 2:**

Transmission Revenue Adjustment	
	(System) (1)
BPA - Transmission	\$ (68,000)
- Low Voltage	184,000
- Ancillary Services	456,000
Consol Irrig Dist - Transmission	-
- Low Voltage	4,000
- Ancillary	4,000
East Greenacres - Transmission	-
- Low Voltage	-
- Ancillary	1,000
Grant PUD Transmission	-
Spokane Indian Tribe - Transmission	-
- Low Voltage	-
- Ancillary	2,000
Seattle/Tacoma Main Canal	(7,000)
Seattle/Tacoma Summer Falls	62,000
OASIS nf & stf Whl (Other Whl)	535,000
Pacificorp - Dry Gulch	14,000
Spokane Waste to Energy Plant	-
Columbia Basin Hydropower	-
First Wind Transmission	(200,000)
Palouse Wind O & M	-
Stimson Lumber	-
BPA Parallel Capacity Support	(2,268,000)
Morgan Stanley Capital Group	(600,000)
Hydro Tech Systems - Meyers Falls	-
Deep Creek Hydro	-
Kootenai Electric Cooperative Transmission	-
Kootenai Electric Cooperative Ancillary	5,000
BPA Excess Transmission Sales ⁽²⁾	(287,000)
	\$ (2,163,000)
(1) Represents the change in revenues above or below the 2016 historical test year level.	
(2) Removes test year revenue associated with marketing unused BPA transmission capacity to other BPA transmission customers.	

22 The Company provides transmission service to wholesale
 23 customers under the jurisdiction of the FERC. The components

1 of what has traditionally been known as "wheeling" service
2 include: (i) transmission service over the Company's
3 transmission facilities that are operated at or above 115kV,
4 (ii) ancillary services (generation-related services that are
5 required to be offered in conjunction with transmission
6 service) and (iii) low-voltage wheeling services over
7 substation and distribution facilities that are operated below
8 115kV. With respect to ancillary services, the Company attained
9 FERC acceptance of revised ancillary service rates effective
10 September 1, 2016. Rates for Regulation Service and Operating
11 Reserves - Spinning increased from \$8.94/kW-month to \$12.83/kW-
12 month, while the rate for Operating Reserves - Supplemental
13 increased from \$8.94/kW-month to \$11.82/kW-month. All
14 ancillary service rate adjustments noted herein are due
15 primarily to this rate change.

16 **Bonneville Power Administration** (**Transmission**: -\$68,000)
17 (**Low Voltage**: \$184,000) (**Ancillary Services**: \$456,000) -
18 Network Integration **Transmission** Service revenue, which is
19 dependent upon variable BPA load amounts each month, is
20 estimated based upon a three-year average for the 2014-2016
21 time period, resulting in a figure of \$6,164,000 for the rate
22 year compared to \$6,233,000 for the 2016 test year. The Company
23 attained FERC acceptance of increased substation and **low**

1 **voltage** charges effective April 1, 2016, so the 2016 test year
2 included three months' time with the prior charges. Estimated
3 revenues for the rate year are \$1,663,000 compared to \$1,479,000
4 during the 2016 test year, reflecting an increase of \$184,000
5 from the test year. Using three-year average monthly peak load
6 figures and the new **ancillary service** rates effective September
7 1, 2016, the Company estimates annual ancillary service revenue
8 of \$2,244,000 during the rate year compared to \$1,788,000 during
9 the test year, an increase of \$456,000.

10 **Consolidated Irrigation District** (**Transmission:** \$0) (**Low**
11 **Voltage:** \$4,000) (**Ancillary Services:** \$4,000) - The prior
12 transmission and distribution service agreements expired on
13 September 30, 2016 and new agreements were executed to be
14 effective through September 30, 2021. Point-to-Point
15 **Transmission** Service revenue for the 2016 test year was \$32,000
16 and is expected to remain unchanged during the rate year. **Low**
17 **voltage** revenue for the 2016 test year was \$81,000 while charges
18 under the new Electric Distribution Services Agreement will
19 result in revenue of \$85,000 per year during the rate year.
20 **Ancillary service** revenue during the 2016 test year was \$6,000
21 and, using three-year average peak load figures, is expected to
22 be \$10,000 during the rate year.

1 **East Greenacres Irrigation District** (**Transmission:** \$0)
2 (**Low Voltage:** \$0) (**Ancillary Services:** \$1,000) - Current
3 transmission and distribution service agreements will remain in
4 effect through September 30, 2019. Point-to-Point **Transmission**
5 Service revenue for the 2016 test year was \$11,000 and is
6 expected to remain unchanged during the rate year. **Low voltage**
7 revenue under the current Electric Distribution Service
8 Agreement for the 2016 test year was \$51,000 and is expected to
9 remain unchanged during the rate year. **Ancillary service**
10 revenue during the 2016 test year was \$5,000 and, using three-
11 year average peak load figures, is expected to be \$6,000 during
12 the rate year.

13 **Grant County PUD - Transmission** (\$0) - Revenue under the
14 Power Transfer Agreement was \$28,000 for the 2016 test year.
15 Using three-year average load figures the Company is estimating
16 annual revenue of \$28,000 during the rate year.

17 **Spokane Tribe of Indians** (**Transmission:** \$0) (**Low Voltage:**
18 \$0) (**Ancillary Services:** \$2,000) - Current transmission and
19 distribution service agreements will remain in effect through
20 December 31, 2019. Point-to-Point **Transmission** Service revenue
21 for the 2016 test year was \$29,000 and is expected to remain
22 unchanged during the rate year. **Low voltage** revenue under the
23 current Electric Distribution Service Agreement for the 2016

1 test year was \$20,000 and is expected to remain unchanged during
2 the rate year. **Ancillary service** revenue during the 2016 test
3 year was \$5,000 and, using three-year average peak load figures,
4 is expected to be \$7,000 during the rate year.

5 **Seattle and Tacoma - Main Canal Project** (-\$7,000) -
6 Effective March 1, 2008, and continuing through October 31,
7 2026, the Company entered into long-term point-to-point
8 transmission service arrangements with the City of Seattle and
9 the City of Tacoma to transfer output from the Main Canal
10 hydroelectric project, net of local Grant County PUD load
11 service, to the Company's transmission interconnections with
12 Grant County PUD. Service is provided during the eight months
13 of the year (March through October) in which the Main Canal
14 project operates, and the agreements include a three-year
15 ratchet demand provision. Revenues under these agreements
16 totaled \$362,000 during the 2016 test year and are expected to
17 be \$355,000 during the rate year.

18 **Seattle and Tacoma - Summer Falls Project** (\$62,000) -
19 Effective March 1, 2008, and continuing through October 31,
20 2024, the Company entered into long-term use-of-facilities
21 arrangements with the City of Seattle and the City of Tacoma to
22 transfer output from the Summer Falls hydroelectric project
23 across the Company's Stratford Switching Station facilities to

1 the Company's Stratford interconnection with Grant County PUD.
2 Charges under these use-of-facilities arrangements are based
3 upon the Company's investment in its Stratford Switching
4 Station and are not impacted by the Company's transmission
5 service rates under its Open Access Transmission Tariff. The
6 Company attained FERC acceptance of revised use-of-facilities
7 rates effective August 2016. Revenues under these two contracts
8 totaled \$118,000 in the 2016 test year and under the revised
9 rates will be \$180,000 during the rate year.

10 **OASIS Non-Firm and Short-Term Firm Transmission Service**

11 (\$535,000) - OASIS is an acronym for Open Access Same-time
12 Information System. This is the system used by electric
13 transmission providers for selling available transmission
14 capacity to eligible customers. The terms and conditions under
15 which the Company sells its transmission capacity via its OASIS
16 are pursuant to FERC regulations and Avista's Open Access
17 Transmission Tariff. The Company calculates its rate year
18 adjustments using a three-year average of actual OASIS Non-Firm
19 and Short-Term Firm revenue. OASIS transmission revenue may
20 vary significantly depending upon a number of factors,
21 including current wholesale power market conditions, forced or
22 planned generation resource outage situations in the region,
23 the current load-resource balance status of regional load-

1 serving entities, and the availability of parallel transmission
2 paths for prospective transmission customers.

3 The use of a three-year average is intended to strike a
4 balance in mitigating both long-term and short-term impacts to
5 OASIS revenue. A three-year period is intended to be long
6 enough to mitigate the impacts of non-substantial temporary
7 operational conditions (for generation and transmission) that
8 may occur during a given year, and short-enough so as to not
9 dilute the impacts of long-term transmission and generation
10 topography changes (e.g., major transmission projects which may
11 impact the availability of the Company's transmission capacity
12 or competing transmission paths, and major generation projects
13 which may impact the load-resource balance needs of prospective
14 transmission customers). If there are known events or factors
15 that occurred during the period that would cause the average to
16 not be representative of future expectations, then adjustments
17 may be made to the three-year average methodology. However,
18 volatility in OASIS revenue from year-to-year can be expected,
19 entirely outside the scope and purview of the Company as a
20 transmission provider. In this filing, the Company is using a
21 three-year average for the time period of January 2014 to
22 December 2016. The OASIS revenue for the 2016 test year was

1 \$2.373 million and the three-year average calculated during the
2 rate year is \$2.908 million.

3 **PacifiCorp Dry Gulch** (\$14,000) - Revenue under the Dry
4 Gulch use-of-facilities agreement has been adjusted to \$232,000
5 during the rate year, which is a \$14,000 increase from the 2016
6 test year actual revenue of \$218,000. The Company is
7 calculating its adjustment using a three-year average of actual
8 revenue. Revenue under the Dry Gulch Transmission and
9 Interconnection Agreement with PacifiCorp varies depending upon
10 PacifiCorp's loads served via the Dry Gulch Interconnection and
11 the operating conditions of PacifiCorp's transmission system in
12 this area. The use of a three-year average is intended to
13 mitigate the impacts of potential annual variability in the
14 revenues under the contract. The contract includes a twelve-
15 month rolling ratchet demand provision and charges under this
16 agreement are not impacted by the Company's open access
17 transmission service tariff rates.

18 **Spokane Waste to Energy Plant** (\$0) - The City of Spokane
19 pays a use-of-facilities charge for the ongoing use of its
20 interconnection to Avista's transmission system. Use-of-
21 facilities charges for the 2016 test year were \$28,000 and are
22 expected to remain unchanged during the rate year.

1 **Columbia Basin Hydropower** (\$0) - The Company provides
2 operations and maintenance services on the Stratford-Summer
3 Falls 115kV Transmission Line to Columbia Basin Hydropower
4 (formerly known as the Grand Coulee Project Hydroelectric
5 Authority) under a contract signed in March 2006. These
6 services are provided for a fixed annual fee. Annual charges
7 under this contract totaled \$8,100 in the 2016 test year and
8 will remain the same during the rate year.

9 **First Wind Transmission** (-\$200,000) - First Wind Energy
10 Marketing (FWEM) signed a transmission service contract with
11 the Company based on its initial intent to sell the output from
12 a wind facility to an entity other than Avista. FWEM
13 subsequently sold the output of its Palouse Wind facility to
14 Avista, thus voiding its need for transmission service. FWEM
15 extended its start date for transmission service the maximum
16 allowed five years and, as of February 2017 has defaulted on
17 the transmission service contract. The Company filed a request
18 with FERC in March 2017, to terminate the contract and obtained
19 FERC acceptance of cancellation effective May 31, 2017. The
20 Company received \$200,000 in revenue under this agreement in

1 the 2016 test year and, following termination, will not receive
2 any further revenue².

3 **Palouse Wind O&M** (\$0) - Per Avista's interconnection
4 agreement with the Palouse Wind project, the interconnection
5 customer pays O&M fees associated with directly-assigned
6 interconnection facilities owned and operated by Avista. O&M
7 revenue for the 2016 test year was \$52,000. Revenue during the
8 rate year is expected to remain unchanged.

9 **Stimson Lumber** (\$0) - Low-voltage facilities associated
10 with the Company's Plummer Substation are dedicated for use by
11 Stimson Lumber resulting in low voltage use-of-facilities
12 revenue of \$9,000 during the 2016 test year. Revenue during
13 the rate year is expected to remain unchanged.

14 **Bonneville Power Administration - Parallel Capacity**
15 **Support** (-\$2,268,000) - Avista and BPA executed a Parallel
16 Operation Agreement on December 12, 2012, wherein Avista
17 provides BPA with parallel transmission capacity in support of
18 BPA's integration of several wind resource projects. In 2014
19 BPA indicated its intent to construct additional transmission
20 facilities to bypass Avista's system and terminate this

² Under the cancellation terms accepted by FERC, the Company will receive proceeds totaling approximately \$1,450,000. While these amounts are not reflected in either the 2016 test year or 2018 rate period, these amounts will be recorded as transmission revenue by June 2017 and reflected in the Company's Power Cost Adjustment mechanism.

1 agreement. Avista and BPA completed over two years of
2 negotiations and executed a revised Parallel Capacity Support
3 Agreement that went into effect February 1, 2017, which provides
4 for a reduced payment stream by BPA but with an extended minimum
5 term of ten years, through December 2026. Revenue for the 2016
6 test year was \$3,192,000. Reduced annual revenue under the
7 revised agreement during the rate year and beyond is \$924,000,
8 a reduction of \$2,268,000 from the 2016 test year.

9 **Morgan Stanley** (-\$600,000) - Morgan Stanley Capital Group
10 purchased 25 MW of Long-Term Firm Point-to-Point Transmission
11 Service from January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2017. Revenue for
12 the 2016 test year was \$600,000 and will be reduced to \$0 during
13 the rate year, due to the expiration of the contract.

14 **Hydro Tech Systems** (\$0) - Low-voltage facilities in the
15 Company's Greenwood Substation are dedicated for use by the
16 Meyers Falls generation project resulting in low voltage use-
17 of-facilities revenue of \$6,000 during the 2016 test year.
18 Revenue during the rate year is expected to remain unchanged.

19 **Kootenai Electric Cooperative - Fighting Creek**
20 **(Transmission: \$0) (Ancillary Services: \$5,000)** - Kootenai
21 Electric Cooperative (KEC) has purchased 3 MW of Long-Term Firm
22 Point-to-Point **Transmission** Service from April 1, 2014 to March
23 31, 2019. Transmission revenue for the 2016 test year was

1 \$72,000 and is expected to remain unchanged during the rate
2 year. Ancillary service revenue during the 2016 test year was
3 \$18,000 and is expected to be \$23,000 during the rate year. As
4 noted above the Company attained FERC acceptance of revised
5 ancillary service rates effective September 1, 2016. Rates for
6 Regulation Service and Operating Reserves - Spinning increased
7 from \$8.94/kW-month to \$12.83/kW-month, while the rate for
8 Operating Reserves - Supplemental increased from \$8.94/kW-month
9 to \$11.82/kW-month, this increase is due to this rate change.

10
11 **IV. TRANSMISSION EXPENSES FOR POTENTIAL ENERGY**
12 **IMBALANCE MARKET PARTICIPATION**

13 **Q. Please provide detail about any transmission expense**
14 **associated with the Company potentially joining the CAISO**
15 **Western Energy Imbalance Market?**

16 A. The Company is not including any transmission expense
17 related to participation in the California Independent System
18 Operator (CAISO) Western Energy Imbalance Market (EIM) in this
19 filing. As discussed by Company witness Mr. Kinney, the Company
20 is currently evaluating the costs and benefits of joining the
21 CAISO EIM and anticipates making a decision on when to join the
22 market by the end of 2017. The Company is monitoring several
23 operational drivers such as market liquidity and additional

1 renewable integration in our service territory that could
2 influence our timing to join the market.

3 Mr. Kinney explains that EIM integration expenses are
4 estimated to be \$3 million, with another \$12 million in capital
5 additions, while ongoing EIM operational expenses are expected
6 to be from \$3 to \$5 million annually. The Company expects
7 approximately two thirds of these costs will relate to
8 transmission and system operations expense, with the remaining
9 expense related to energy resource and technology expenses.
10 The Company is not requesting recovery of costs in this filing.
11 However, for any such expenses that may be incurred during the
12 Two-Year Rate Plan proposed by the Company in this case, the
13 Company may submit a filing for accounting or ratemaking
14 treatment of these costs prior to the end of the Two-Year Rate
15 Plan.

16 **Q. Does this complete your pre-filed direct testimony?**

17 A. Yes it does.